United States District Court

EASTERN	District of	MICHIGAN
UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA	
v.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
RUDOLPH KNOX, III,	Case	4:07-CR-20453-FL
Defendant		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 the detention of the defendant pending trial in the		ng has been held. I conclude that the following facts require
Part I—Findings of Fact		
	federal offense if a circumstance giv U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). entence is life imprisonment or deat	
	16 1 11 11 11 11 11	
a felony that was committed after the \$ 3142(f)(1)(A)-©, or comparable st		vo or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).		
[14] Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A) X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
X for which a maximum term of impris		
X under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	mation actablished by finding 1 that	a condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
_	•	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)		
 (1) There is a serious risk that the defendan X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendan See reasons in Part II below. 	t will not appear.	
-		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention		
I find that the credible testimony and inform derance of the evidence that	nation submitted at the hearing estab	olishes by X clear and convincing evidence a prepon-
detention is appropriate in this matter. The info	ormation presented at the hearing re-	veals that the defendant has family ties to the State of
Michigan which weigh in the defendant's favor. Further information presented at the hearing reveals that the defendant has a		
an extensive criminal background involving illegal drugs dating back to 2002. It further appears that the present offense was committed while the defendant was under the supervision of the Michigan Department of Corrections. Additionally, during the		
execution of a search warrant a loaded handgun was found at his residence. 18 U.S.C. 3142(g) outlines factors to be considered in determining		
bond. Among those factors are the nature of the offense and the history and characteristics of the person, including past conduct. Based upon		
information at the hearing, considering the severity of the charges pending, the defendant's previous criminal history involving drugs and guns,		
no combination fo conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community & defendant shall be detained without bond pending trial.		
The defendant is committed to the custody separate, to the extent practicable, from persons afforded a reasonable opportunity for private cor	s awaiting or serving sentences or b nsultation with defense counsel. On corrections facility shall deliver the	g Detention gnated representative for confinement in a corrections facility using held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an
Date: October 17, 2007	s/ Stever	a D. Pepe
	STEVEN	D. PEPE. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or © Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 17, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send such notification of such filing to the following: Nancy A. Abraham, AUSA, David I. Megdell, Esq., and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: United States Marshal Service, 600 Church St., Flint, MI, 48502, Pretrial Services Officer, 600 Church St., Flint, MI 48502.

s/James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S.District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete_peltier@mied.uscourts.gov